

## REMARKS

This Response is submitted in reply to the Office Action dated December 13, 2007. The Director is authorized to charge any fees which may be required, or to credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-1818. If such a withdrawal is made, please indicate the Attorney Docket No. 115808-511 on the account statement.

In the Office Action, the Patent Office asserts that Applicants' response filed on December 6, 2007 is not fully responsive to the prior Office Action dated September 7, 2007 because Applicants allegedly failed to present a complete listing of all the claims. In response, Applicants include an updated listing of all the claims that includes a notation for Claims 1-37, which were previously canceled. Besides this, Applicants do not know what the Patent Office considers necessary for a complete listing of claims.

Based on at least the reasons noted in the Response filed December 6, 2007, Applicants respectfully request that the anticipation and obviousness rejections of Claims 48-55 and 58-60 be withdrawn. Moreover, in view of the Terminal Disclaimer previously submitted, Applicants request that the non-statutory obviousness-type double patenting provisional rejection of Claims 48-60 be withdrawn as well.

In light of this Response and the Response filed December 6, 2007, Applicants respectfully submit that the present application is in condition for allowance.

For the foregoing reasons, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the above-identified patent application and earnestly solicit an early allowance of same.

Respectfully submitted,

BELL, BOYD & LLOYD LLP

BY 

Robert M. Barrett  
Reg. No. 30,142  
Customer No.: 29157

Dated: January 2, 2008